

An Improved Alternating-Direction Method for a Viscous Wave Equation

Jim Douglas, Jr.* Seongjai Kim[†] and Hyeona Lim[‡]

Abstract

We introduce an accurate and efficient alternating-direction method for solving a viscous wave equation which is based on a three-level, second-order correct implicit algorithm and which has a splitting error not significantly larger than the truncation error of the base method.

Key words. Viscous wave, microscale heat transfer.

AMS subject classifications. 65M06, 65M12, 65M15.

1 Introduction

Let $\Omega = [0, 1]^m$, $2 \leq m \leq 3$, and let $\Gamma = \partial\Omega$ be its boundary; also, set $J = (0, T]$, the time interval. Consider the wave equation in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(a)} \quad & \gamma_1 \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \gamma_2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \nabla \cdot (A \nabla u) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \cdot (Q \nabla u) = S, & (\mathbf{x}, t) \in \Omega \times J, \\ \text{(b)} \quad & u = 0, & (\mathbf{x}, t) \in \Gamma \times J, \\ \text{(c)} \quad & u(\mathbf{x}, 0) = g_0(\mathbf{x}), \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = g_1(\mathbf{x}), & \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \quad t = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

*Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, W. Lafayette, Indiana 47097-1395, USA. Email: douglas@math.purdue.edu

[†]Department of Mathematics, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky 40506-0027, USA. Email: skim@ms.uky.edu. This work is supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0107210.

[‡]Department of Mathematics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824, USA. Email: lim@math.msu.edu

where $\gamma_k = \gamma_k(\mathbf{x})$, $k = 1, 2$, are positive coefficients, S denotes a source, and $A = A(\mathbf{x})$ and $Q = Q(\mathbf{x})$ are diagonal, nonnegative diffusion tensors:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= A^T, & \xi \cdot A\xi &\geq 0, \\ Q &= Q^T, & \xi \cdot Q\xi &\geq 0, \end{aligned} \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^m. \quad (1.2)$$

Here, we have selected a homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition for simplicity. The method to be treated below can be adjusted to accommodate other boundary conditions.

The equation (1.1) governs various physical phenomena; examples include damped acoustic waves and microscale heat transfer [1, 2, 3, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. The main goal of the paper is to introduce and analyze a three-level, improved alternating-direction method for solving (1.1) in m -dimensional space, $2 \leq m \leq 3$, which is second-order correct in time.

An outline of the paper is as follows. In §2, we present a three-level, second-order correct, implicit time-stepping algorithm, along with a second-order initialization scheme for u^1 , the solution at the first time level. In §3, a standard alternating-direction procedure is introduced and then modified to obtain an efficient perturbation of the implicit algorithm. In §4, stability for both the three-level implicit algorithm and the final alternating-direction procedure is discussed.

2 Discretization Schemes

In this section, we introduce a three-level numerical algorithm for (1.1) which is a natural generalization of a standard time-stepping procedure [4, 7] for wave equations not including the time-differentiated diffusive (Sobolev) term. Then, we evaluate the truncation/consistency error and present an effective scheme for approximating the solution u^1 at the first time level.

2.1 Notation

Let $\mathbf{x}_i = (i_1 h, \dots, i_m h)$, $0 \leq i_j \leq N = h^{-1}$ and $t^n = n\Delta t$, $n \geq 0$. Denote $f(\mathbf{x}_i, t^n)$ by f_i^n ; these indices will be omitted where unneeded

for clarity. The difference operators

$$\bar{\partial}_t u^n = \frac{u^{n+1} - u^{n-1}}{2\Delta t} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\partial}_{tt} u^n = \frac{u^{n+1} - 2u^n + u^{n-1}}{(\Delta t)^2} \quad (2.1)$$

approximate the first and second time derivatives, respectively, to second order in Δt . Also, for $1 \leq i_\ell \leq N - 1$, let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_\ell u_{\mathbf{i}}^n &= \frac{1}{h^2} \left(a_\ell(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}_\ell}) [u^n(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{e}_\ell}) - u^n(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}})] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - a_\ell(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i} - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{e}_\ell}) [u^n(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}) - u^n(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i} - \mathbf{e}_\ell})] \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where \mathbf{e}_ℓ is the unit vector in the ℓ^{th} direction, and define \mathcal{Q}_ℓ analogously. Then, set

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_{\ell=1}^m \mathcal{A}_\ell, \quad \mathcal{Q} = \sum_{\ell=1}^m \mathcal{Q}_\ell. \quad (2.3)$$

These centered second difference operators are second order correct in h approximations to $\nabla \cdot (a \nabla u)$ and $\nabla \cdot (d \nabla u)$ for smooth u . Finally, let

$$u^{n,\theta} = \theta u^{n+1} + (1 - 2\theta)u^n + \theta u^{n-1}. \quad (2.4)$$

2.2 The implicit time-stepping algorithm

An implicit, second-order time-stepping algorithm for (1.1) can be defined as follows. Given v^0, \dots, v^n , $n \geq 1$, find v^{n+1} as the solution of

$$\gamma_1 \bar{\partial}_t v^n + \gamma_2 \bar{\partial}_{tt} v^n + \mathcal{A} v^{n,\theta} + \bar{\partial}_t (\mathcal{Q} v)^n = S^n, \quad 1 \leq i_\ell \leq N - 1, \quad (2.5)$$

where $\theta \in [.25, 0.5]$, subject to the boundary condition

$$v^n = 0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma, \quad \forall n, \quad (2.6)$$

and the initial conditions

$$v^0(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}) = g_0(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}), \quad \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}} \in \Omega, \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$v^1(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}}) = g_0 + \Delta t g_1 + \frac{(\Delta t)^2}{2\gamma_2} \left[S^0 - \gamma_1 g_1 - \mathcal{A} g_0 - \mathcal{Q} g_1 \right], \quad (2.8)$$

which can be seen to be correct to order $\mathcal{O}((\Delta t)^3 + h^2(\Delta t)^2)$.

The symmetric, positive-definite algebraic system for (2.5) has the form

$$\left(\frac{\Delta t}{2}\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + (\Delta t)^2\theta\mathcal{A} + \frac{\Delta t}{2}\mathcal{Q}\right)v^{n+1} = \mathbf{b}^n(v), \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{b}^n(v) = & (\Delta t)^2 S^n + \frac{\gamma_1 \Delta t}{2} v^{n-1} + \gamma_2 (2v^n - v^{n-1}) \\ & - (\Delta t)^2 \mathcal{A} \left((1 - 2\theta)v^n + \theta v^{n-1} \right) + \frac{\Delta t}{2} \mathcal{Q} v^{n-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

It is easy to see that the truncation error in (2.5) is $\mathcal{O}((\Delta t)^2 + h^2)$. A modest modification of the argument given in [7] shows that, for $.25 \leq \theta \leq .5$, the solution of (2.5) converges to that of (1.1) with a global rate of convergence given by $\mathcal{O}(h^2 + (\Delta t)^2)$.

3 The Alternating-Direction Procedure

In this section we first introduce a standard [5] alternating-direction perturbation of (2.5) and then define a modification of this procedure that reduces significantly the so-called splitting error, as was done in [6] for parabolic and simpler hyperbolic problems. Then, we note that the improved algorithm can be obtained in a simpler fashion.

3.1 The standard alternating-direction algorithm

The standard alternating-direction method [5] is defined as follows. Given w^k , $k \leq n$, determine $w^{n+1,1}, \dots, w^{n+1,m} = w^{n+1}$ recursively as the solutions of

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\gamma_1 \Delta t + \gamma_2\right) w^{n+1,j} + \sum_{\ell=1}^j \mathcal{B}_\ell w^{n+1,\ell} + \sum_{\ell=j+1}^m \mathcal{B}_\ell w^n = \mathbf{b}^n(w) \quad (3.1)$$

for $j = 1, \dots, m$, where

$$\mathcal{B}_\ell = (\Delta t)^2 \theta \mathcal{A}_\ell + \frac{1}{2} \Delta t \mathcal{Q}_\ell. \quad (3.2)$$

Equivalently, find $w^{n+1,1}$ by (3.1) and then find $w^{n+1,j}$, $j \geq 2$, as the solutions of

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\gamma_1 \Delta t + \gamma_2\right) (w^{n+1,j} - w^{n+1,j-1}) + \mathcal{B}_j (w^{n+1,j} - w^n) = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Note that in each substep of the algorithm specified by (3.1) or (3.1)+(3.3), the algebraic equations to be solved are tridiagonal; thus, the number of floating point operations required to complete a time step is proportional to h^{-m} , the minimal order with respect to h .

For the purposes of analysis, it is desirable to eliminate the intermediate solutions $w^{n+1,j}$, $j = 1, \dots, m-1$ [5, 6]. Set

$$\zeta = \left(\frac{1}{2}\gamma_1\Delta t + \gamma_2 \right)^{-1},$$

and rewrite (3.3) as

$$w^{n+1,j-1} = w^{n+1,j} + \zeta\mathcal{B}_j(w^{n+1,j} - w^n). \quad (3.4)$$

Then,

$$w^{n+1,m-1} = w^{n+1} + \zeta\mathcal{B}_m(w^{n+1} - w^n), \quad (3.5)$$

and, if $m = 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} w^{n+1,1} &= w^{n+1} + \zeta(\mathcal{B}_2 + \mathcal{B}_3)(w^{n+1} - w^n) \\ &\quad + \zeta^2\mathcal{B}_2\mathcal{B}_3(w^{n+1} - w^n). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

A bit of manipulation leads to the recursion relation

$$\gamma_1\bar{\partial}_t w^n + \gamma_2\bar{\partial}_{tt} w^n + \mathcal{A}w^{n,\theta} + \bar{\partial}_t(\mathcal{Q}w)^n + \mathcal{P}(w^{n+1} - w^n) = S^n, \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$\mathcal{P} = \zeta(\Delta t)^{-2}\mathcal{B}_1\mathcal{B}_2 = \frac{1}{4}\zeta(2\Delta t\mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{Q}_1)(\Delta t\mathcal{A}_2 + \mathcal{Q}_2), \quad m = 2, \quad (3.8)$$

or

$$\mathcal{P} = \zeta(\Delta t)^{-2} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} \mathcal{B}_i\mathcal{B}_j + \zeta\mathcal{B}_1\mathcal{B}_2\mathcal{B}_3 \right), \quad m = 3. \quad (3.9)$$

Thus, if $m = 2$ or 3 , when \mathcal{Q} is nontrivial (*i.e.*, when $d_\ell \geq d^* > 0$, $\ell = 1, \dots, m$), then the splitting error term

$$\mathcal{P}(w^{n+1} - w^n) = \mathcal{O}(\Delta t) \quad (3.10)$$

and can be expected to dominate the underlying second order truncation error associated with (2.5). In the case of acoustic waves ($\mathcal{Q} = 0$), the splitting error is $\mathcal{O}((\Delta t)^3)$ and should produce a solution only slightly differing from that of the standard alternating-direction method; however, the improved algorithm (3.13)-(3.14) should be a bit better than (3.1) and costs very little more in computational effort.

3.2 Improvement by modification of the right-hand side

In [6], Douglas and Kim modified the right-hand sides of standard alternating-direction procedures in order to replace the first difference in (3.10) with a higher order time difference. This choice is available to us here; let the equation for $w^{n+1,1}$ be changed to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{2} \gamma_1 \Delta t + \gamma_2 \right) w^{n+1,1} + \mathcal{B}_1 w^{n+1,1} + \sum_{\ell=2}^m \mathcal{B}_\ell w^n \\ & = \mathbf{b}^n(w) + \mathcal{P}(w^n - w^{n-1}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

while followed by (3.3) for evaluating $w^{n+1,2}, \dots, w^m$. Eliminating the intermediate solutions now gives the recursion relation

$$\gamma_1 \bar{\partial}_t w^n + \gamma_2 \bar{\partial}_{tt} w^n + \mathcal{A} w^{n,\theta} + \bar{\partial}_t (\mathcal{Q} w)^n + (\Delta t)^2 \mathcal{P} \bar{\partial}_{tt} w^n = S^n. \quad (3.12)$$

An alternate, and slightly cheaper way, to obtain (3.12) is described immediately below.

3.3 The recommended improved procedure

In (3.1), w^{n+1} was approximated by w^n in the discretization of the spatial derivatives for $\ell > j$ in the j^{th} fractional step. If, instead, it is approximated by $2w^n - w^{n-1}$, then the splitting error term should be of second order in Δt . Indeed, this is the case. Let

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{2} \gamma_1 \Delta t + \gamma_2 \right) w^{n+1,1} + \mathcal{B}_1 w^{n+1,1} + \sum_{\ell=2}^m \mathcal{B}_\ell (2w^n - w^{n-1}) \\ & = \mathbf{b}^n(w), \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

followed for $j = 2, \dots, m$ by

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} \gamma_1 \Delta t + \gamma_2 \right) (w^{n+1,j} - w^{n+1,j-1}) + \mathcal{B}_j (w^{n+1,j} - 2w^n + w^{n-1}) = 0. \quad (3.14)$$

Then, it follows easily that (3.7) is again replaced by

$$\gamma_1 \bar{\partial}_t w^n + \gamma_2 \bar{\partial}_{tt} w^n + \mathcal{A} w^{n,\theta} + \bar{\partial}_t (\mathcal{Q} w)^n + (\Delta t)^2 \mathcal{P} \bar{\partial}_{tt} w^n = S^n, \quad (3.15)$$

so that the splitting error becomes $\mathcal{O}((\Delta t)^2)$, which is consistent with the truncation error of (2.5). In the acoustic wave case, the splitting error is $\mathcal{O}((\Delta t)^4)$.

4 Stability and Convergence

The stability of the three-level implicit algorithm (2.5) can be established by a fairly simple extension of the stability proof by Dupont [7] when $\mathcal{Q} = 0$. Our alternating-direction procedure corresponds to a difference approximation to a differential equation of the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\gamma_1 u - \nabla \cdot (d\nabla u)) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} (\gamma_2 u - \mathcal{D}u) - \nabla \cdot (a\nabla u) = S. \quad (4.1)$$

Extending Dupont's proof to (4.1) and (3.15) directly is blocked by the \mathcal{D} -term. However, if we assume that the entries in

$$\{\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{Q}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Q}_m\}$$

are pairwise commutative, then both Dupont's argument and one based on spectral analysis apply, since then \mathcal{D} is positive definite. This condition is essentially equivalent to requiring the coefficients a_ℓ and d_ℓ for $\ell = 1, \dots, m$ to be constants. In that case, the stability of (3.12) follows, so that the solution of (3.12) converges to that of (1.1) with an error bounded by $\mathcal{O}(h^2 + (\Delta t)^2)$ without the necessity of imposing a *CFL* constraint.

References

- [1] I. BOYD, *Laser Processing of Thin Films and Microstructures*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
- [2] W. DAI AND R. NASSAR, *A finite difference method for solving the heat transport equation at the microscale*, Numer. Methods PDEs, 15 (1999), pp. 697–708.
- [3] ———, *A hybrid finite element-finite difference method for solving three-dimensional heat transport equations in a double-layered thin film with microscale thickness*, Numer. Heat Transfer, Part A, 38 (2000), pp. 573–588.
- [4] J. DOUGLAS, JR. AND T. DUPONT, *Alternating-direction Galerkin methods on rectangles*, in Numerical Solution of Partial Differential Equations II, B. Hubbard (ed.), Academic Press, New York, 1971, pp. 133–214.

- [5] J. DOUGLAS, JR. AND J. GUNN, *A general formulation of alternating direction methods, Part I. Parabolic and hyperbolic problems*, Numer. Math., 6 (1964), pp. 428–453.
- [6] J. DOUGLAS, JR. AND S. KIM, *Improved accuracy for locally one-dimensional methods for parabolic equations*, Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences, 11 (2001), pp. 1563–1579.
- [7] T. DUPONT, *L^2 -estimates for Galerkin methods for second order hyperbolic equations*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 10 (1973), pp. 880–889.
- [8] D. JOSEPH AND L. PREZIOSI, *Heat waves*, Reviews Modern Phys., 61 (1989), pp. 41–73.
- [9] A. JOSHI AND A. MAJUMDAR, *Transient ballistic and diffusive phonon heat transport in thin films*, J. Appl. Phys., 74 (1993), pp. 31–39.
- [10] J. NARAYAN, V. GODBOLE, AND G. WHITE, *Laser method for synthesis and processing of continuous diamond films on nondiamond substrates*, Science, 52 (1991), pp. 416–418.
- [11] T. QIU AND C. TIEN, *Heat transfer mechanisms during short-pulse laser heating of metals*, ASME J. Heat Transfer, 115 (1993), pp. 835–841.
- [12] D. TZOU, *Experimental support for the lagging behavior in heat propagation*, J. Thermophysics Heat Transfer, 9 (1995), pp. 689–693.
- [13] J. ZHANG AND J. ZHAO, *Unconditionally stable finite difference scheme and iterative solution of 2D microscale heat transport equation*, Technical Report #303-00, Department of Computer Science, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40506, 2000.
- [14] —, *Iterative solution and finite difference approximations to 3D microscale heat transport equation*. (preprint), 2001.